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Abstract

Background & objectives: Bancroftian filariasis in Kenya is endemic in coastal districts with an estimated number of 2.5 million people at risk of infection. The main mosquito genera involved in transmission of *Wuchereria bancrofti* in these areas are *Anopheles, Culex* and *Mansonia*. The study was envisaged to compare the infectivity rates of Bancroftian filariasis vectors between the high transmission (wet) and the low transmission (dry) seasons.

Methods: Mosquitoes were sampled from houses and compounds from two study sites, Gazi and Madunguni, on the Kenyan coast. Day resting indoor collection (DRI), pyrethrum spray catch (PSC) and CDC light traps were used to collect mosquitoes. After identification, female mosquitoes were dissected to search for *W. bancrofti* III stage larvae.

Results: A total of 1832 female mosquitoes were dissected. Infectivity rates of vectors in Madunguni were 1.49 and 0.21% in wet and dry seasons respectively, whereas in Gazi, these were 1.69 and 0%, respectively. There was a significant difference in the infectivity rates between the two seasons in both Madunguni and Gazi villages (*p* <0.05). *Anopheles gambiae s.l.* was the main vector in both study sites followed by *Culex quinquefasciatus* and *An. funestus*.

Conclusion: There was a difference in infectivity rates of Bancroftian filariasis vectors between the wet and dry seasons. The abundance of *An. gambiae s.s.* during the transmission season could be responsible for the increased infectivity rates of vectors in this season.
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Introduction

Lymphatic filariasis, a disease caused by filarial parasites, *Wuchereria bancrofti, Brugia malayi* and *Brugia timori*, is a major health problem with nearly 1.2 billion people living in endemic areas (therefore at risk of infection) and 120 million having the clinical disease world wide. *Wuchereria bancrofti*, which causes Bancroftian filariasis, is the most widespread and common species of human filariasis. It is the only known etiologic agent in the African region. Bancroftian filariasis in Kenya is endemic in coastal districts of Lamu, Kilifi, Tana River, Kwale and Malindi. In these foci, it is estimated that at least 2.5 million people are at risk of infection. The main mosquito genera involved in transmission of *W. bancrofti* in these areas are *Anopheles* (Diptera: Culicidae), *Culex* and *Mansonia*.

Along the Kenyan coast, the prevalence (filariasis index) of the disease in human population was lowest in areas with the highest rainfall and highest population density. However, no explanation was given to these findings especially in the light of vector infec-
tivity or infection rates. In an entomological study in Mambrui and Jaribuni, the peak transmission in the former was during the long rains and after the short rains in the latter. In the same study it was found that during the hot dry season transmission was interrupted in Mambrui and was very low in Jaribuni. Conclusion from another study was that transmission season for Bancroftian filariasis coincides with the long rains during which *Anopheles* vectors were abundant, but there were no clear records of comparison between vector infectivity rates in the wet and dry seasons. This study was therefore conducted in Kwale and Malindi districts with the aim of determining the difference in vector infectivity and infection rates between the dry and wet seasons. It is envisaged that the results will provide relevant information which may provide further impetus to the ongoing control efforts, and to support future campaigns aimed at eliminating filariasis. For instance, choice of the environmental settings and time of the year during which vector control should be intensified, coupled with man/vector contact avoidance could very much be guided by these findings.

**Material & Methods**

**Study sites:** Two sites, Madunguni in Malindi district and Gazi in Kwale district were chosen for the study. Madunguni is a rural village which is 20 km north-west of Malindi town, on the valley of the River Sabaki. The terrain in most of the region is flat and sometimes covered by the floods of the River Sabaki. The inhabitants are the Giriama, a sub-tribe of the Miji-Kenda group of the coastal people. The Giriama mainly live in mud-walled and makuti-thatched houses which are sparsely spaced. Houses with stone walls and or iron-sheet roofing are extremely rare. The Giriama are peasants, growing mainly cassava and coconuts. Livestock kept include cattle and goats with some of the animals tethered inside human dwellings. This site was selected because it lies within the main filariasis foci along the Kenyan coast.

Gazi is a small village town near the sea, about 60 km south of Mombasa town whose terrain gently slopes towards the sea. The village is inhabited by the Digo, another ethnic group of the Miji-Kenda, who grow coconuts and cashew-nuts but keep very few livestock. They live in clustered Swahili type of houses, a few of which have latrines inside. Gazi was chosen due to its easy accessibility and also being within the main filariasis foci.

**Mosquito sampling technique:** Mosquito collection was done twice, during the wet season (June/July 1998) and the dry season (September/October 1998). In all, 32 houses from Madunguni and 17 from Gazi were randomly selected from where mosquitoes were collected both indoors and outdoors. Fewer houses were selected in Gazi because of its small size.

Three methods were used for mosquito collection concurrently in order to increase the catch in terms of mosquito physiological status, i.e. gravid, blood fed and unfed as well as catering for the difference in feeding and resting behaviour of various species of filariasis vectors. For example, day resting indoor collection (DRI) and pyrethrum spray catch (PSC) were applied in all the houses whereas light traps were set up in only four compounds randomly selected. The first method was the DRI which was done twice a week between 0700 and 0900 hrs. PSC was done from 0700–0830 hrs and each house was sprayed twice a week. Each study site was arbitrarily divided into two zones such that a zone sampled using PSC one week would be sampled with DRI the following week. Lastly, CDC light traps were set under eves of houses or trees in the compound at 1900 hrs and collected the following day at 0700 hrs. Traps were set in a given compound only once a week. Collected mosquitoes were stored in paper cups inside the cool box and transported back to the laboratory for further processing.

**Laboratory processing:** In the laboratory, mosquitoes that were still alive (caught by DRI and light traps) in the paper cups were killed by chloroform. Dead mosquitoes were then sorted out into different species based on their morphological characteristics. During dissection, the three parts of the female mos-
quito (the head, thorax and abdomen) were dissected separately on the same slide to search for *Wucheria bancrofti* Larval stages (L1, L2 and L3). Parasite identification was done on observation. Infection and infectivity rates were calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Infectivity rate} = \frac{\text{No. of mosquitoes carrying L}_3}{\text{No. dissected}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Infection rate} = \frac{\text{No. of mosquitoes carrying L}_1, L_2 \& L_3}{\text{No. dissected}} \times 100
\]

Data analysis: Data were analyzed by chi-square using Epi Info 6 computer software statistical analysis programme to compare the infectivity rates of mosquito vectors between the wet and dry seasons, the two study sites and the mosquito vectors species.

**Results**

A total of 1832 female mosquitoes were dissected in this study. Table 1 shows the infection and infectivity rates of mosquito vectors in Madunguni. Infection rates were 3.99 and 1.04% in the wet and dry seasons respectively. Infectivity rates were 1.49% for the wet season and 0.21% for dry season. Table 2 shows the infection and infectivity rates of mosquito vectors in Gazi. Infection rates were 3.16 and 0.42% in the wet and dry seasons respectively. Infectivity rates were 1.69% for wet season and nil for dry season. Fig. 1 shows the mean monthly rainfall levels in Gazi and Madunguni indicating the wet and dry seasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mosquito species</th>
<th>No. dissected</th>
<th>No. containing L₁</th>
<th>No. containing L₂</th>
<th>No. containing L₃</th>
<th>Infection rates (%)</th>
<th>Infectivity rates (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wet season</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cx. quinquefasciatus</em></td>
<td>241</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>An. gambiae s.l.</em></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>An. funestus</em></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. africana</em></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. uniformis</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>An. squamosus</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry season</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>An. gambiae s.l.</em></td>
<td>175</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>An. funestus</em></td>
<td>121</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cx. quinquefasciatus</em></td>
<td>136</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. uniformis</em></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>An. squamosus</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ae. furfurea</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 1: Mean monthly rainfall levels in Gazi and Madunguni indicating the wet and dry seasons](image-url)
The infectivity rates differed significantly between the wet and dry seasons in both Madunguni ($\chi^2 = 4.60, p = 0.0320$) and Gazi ($\chi^2 = 8.20, p = 0.0041$). There was no significant difference in the overall vector infectivity rates between the two study sites in both the wet ($\chi^2 = 0.06, p = 0.8279$) and dry ($\chi^2 = 1.00, p = 0.3175$) seasons.

Considering the infectivity rates of vector species independently, the order of vector importance of the three main vectors in Madunguni and Gazi was An. gambiae, Cx. quinquefasciatus and An. funestus (Tables 1 and 2). This was the same trend in both the wet and dry seasons. Statistically significant differences in infectivity rates were found between An. gambiae and Cx. quinquefasciatus ($\chi^2 = 9.22, p = 0.0230$) and also between An. gambiae and An. funestus ($\chi^2 = 11.67, p = 0.0063$). However, there was no significant difference between the infectivity rates of An. funestus and Cx. quinquefasciatus ($\chi^2 = 1.43, p = 0.2313$). Culex quinquefasciatus was abundant in dry season but An. funestus was dominant in Gazi during the wet season (Table 2). In Madunguni, Cx. quinquefasciatus was predominant in wet season whereas An. gambiae s.l. was predominant in the non-transmission season (Table 1). The highest number of infective larvae per mosquito in Madunguni was 3 with an average of 2 which occurred in wet season. There was only one infective mosquito (An. gambiae) in dry season with one L$_3$ recovered. In Gazi, the highest number of infective larvae per mosquito was 2, with an average of 1.12 during wet season. During dry season there was no infective larvae found.

**Table 2. Infection and infectivity rates of mosquito vectors in Gazi during transmission (June/July 1998) and non-transmission (September/October 1998) seasons**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mosquito species</th>
<th>Wet season</th>
<th>Dry season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx. quinquefasciatus</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An. gambiae s.l.</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An. funestus</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. africana</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ae. aegypti</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An. nili</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The infectivity rates were calculated as the proportion of mosquitoes containing infective larvae out of the total mosquitoes dissected. The dry season was associated with lower infectivity rates compared to the wet season. In Madunguni, the dry season had the lowest infectivity rate for An. gambiae (16.6%) compared to the wet season (4.37%). In Gazi, the dry season also had the lowest infectivity rate for An. gambiae (16.6%) compared to the wet season (2.68%).

**Discussion**

Mosquito behaviour and population dynamics vary temporally and spatially as well as according to the mosquito species. The results of the study have shown that the mosquito infectivity rates are low during the dry season and high in the wet season. This was observed for both study sites; Madunguni, a rural village on the north coast and Gazi, a village town on the south coast. Similar results were found along the Kenyan coast$^9$ and Philippines$^{13}$. The only significant difference in the infectivity rates of vectors was between An. gambiae and the rest of the vectors in both the wet and dry seasons. These results depicted An. gambiae as the most important vector of Bancroftian filariasis in terms of infectivity.
rates. Similar findings were found at other sites of the Kenyan coast\textsuperscript{14} and in Tanzania\textsuperscript{15,16}. The increase in number of \textit{An. gambiae} in Madunguni did not however necessarily increase the infectivity rates in the dry season. In Gazi, there was no appreciable difference in their numbers.

Previous work has shown that \textit{An. gambiae} s.s. mosquitoes are known to predominate the wet season whereas \textit{An. arabiensis} are mainly found in the dry season\textsuperscript{16}. The high infectivity rates in the wet season can be explained by the fact that polymorphic inversions 2Rbc, 2Rd and 2La on chromosome-2 do confer tolerance to dryness in \textit{An. arabiensis}\textsuperscript{17,18}. The frequencies of these inversions are low in \textit{An. gambiae}. The frequencies are correlated to climatic and vegetation patterns. The carriers of 2Rbc, 2Rd and 2La polymorphic inversions therefore have an advantage over carriers of other inversions during the dry season. \textit{Anopheles gambiae} s.s. is also endophagic and anthropophagic\textsuperscript{16}. Many of the \textit{An. gambiae} s.s. female mosquitoes therefore become infected with filarial parasites compared with other \textit{An. gambiae} complex species. This and the high human blood index (HBI) give \textit{An. gambiae} s.s. a higher vectorial capacity than any other member of the \textit{An. gambiae} complex. Therefore, the reduced tolerance to dryness of \textit{An. gambiae} (most important vector) immensely reduces the overall vector infectivity rates during the dry season.

\textit{Culex quinquefasciatus} and \textit{An. funestus} have been known to have a reduced longevity in the dry seasons\textsuperscript{9}. Therefore, even if their numbers could be high, they may not live long enough to support \textit{W. bancrofti} infective larvae. In the current study, \textit{Cx. quinquefasciatus} was abundant but with low infectivity rates. These mosquitoes ingest more microfilariae of \textit{W. bancrofti} when feeding on blood of infected persons than \textit{An. gambiae} and \textit{An. funestus} because they take larger volumes of blood. Since microfilariae are pathogenic to the vectors, high mortality is expected in endemic areas with high microfilarial rates in the human populations\textsuperscript{19}. So even though \textit{Cx. quinquefasciatus} mosquitoes could be many in number, very few may live to be infective. This is why these mosquitoes are likely to have a lower contribution to infectivity rates. None of \textit{Cx. quinquefasciatus} mosquitoes was found to be infective during the dry season in this study.

In Madunguni, \textit{Cx. quinquefasciatus} mosquitoes were more abundant in the rainy season than in the dry season whereas in Gazi \textit{An. funestus} dominated in the wet season but \textit{Cx. quinquefasciatus} in the dry season. The number of \textit{An. funestus} in Gazi declined during the dry season probably because their breeding sites were mainly clear water and vegetation near the water sources which were rare in dry season. The decrease of \textit{Cx. quinquefasciatus} in Madunguni during the dry season was not surprising because it is a rural area without open polluted water trenches and also lacks bathrooms in or around the houses, leaving very few breeding sites for this species. Apparently, the abundance of \textit{An. gambiae} s.l. and \textit{Cx. quinquefasciatus} was highly influenced by the rains with large numbers appearing during the long rains and very few during the drier months. In both study areas, \textit{Cx. quinquefasciatus} was abundant although not the most important in the transmission of \textit{W. bancrofti}. Therefore, it appears that the great risk of infection from infective mosquitoes in both Madunguni and Gazi is due to the bites of \textit{An. gambiae} s.l. Though it has been reported that \textit{Cx. quinquefasciatus} was the main vector in the coastal towns\textsuperscript{9}, results of this study indicate that even in Gazi, a village town, \textit{An. gambiae} s.l. is a superior vector, though a few of them were caught for dissection.

### Conclusion

The difference in the infectivity rates of Bancroftian filariasis vectors between the wet and dry seasons is not dependant on the general abundance of mosquito vectors as it is the case with malaria transmission\textsuperscript{20} but the actual species of the mosquito vector. Based on infectivity rates of vectors of Bancroftian filariasis, results of this study indicate that there is a difference between the wet and dry seasons and the abundance of \textit{An.gambiae} s.l. during the rainy season could be
the main reason for this. This knowledge is important in vector control, a potential component of the global alliance to eliminate lymphatic filariasis.
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